Chapter 4: Difference Between Static Forces and Dynamic Excitation

---

Introduction

In the context of structural engineering and, more specifically, earthquake engineering, understanding the nature of forces acting on structures is of prime importance. Broadly, these forces can be categorized as **static** or **dynamic**. While static forces are time-independent and change gradually or remain constant, **dynamic excitation** involves forces that vary with time and often come with inertia effects. Earthquake loads fall under the dynamic category and are inherently transient and unpredictable. This chapter elaborates on the differences between static and dynamic forces, their respective behavior on structures, and how they are treated in analysis and design.

4.1 Static Forces

4.1.1 Definition

Static forces are those that are applied slowly to a structure until they reach their full magnitude and then remain constant or change gradually over time. The structural response to such forces is predictable and typically does not involve time-dependent effects.

4.1.2 Characteristics

- **Time-invariant**: Static forces do not vary rapidly with time.
- **No inertial effects**: Since they are applied slowly, the structure has time to respond, and inertia forces can be neglected.
- **Linear behavior**: Structures under static loads typically show linear-elastic behavior unless the loads are extremely high.
- **Simpler analysis**: Since time does not play a significant role, static analysis is more straightforward.

4.1.3 Examples

- Dead loads (self-weight of the structure)
- Live loads (occupants, furniture)
- Wind loads (when considered steady)
- Gravity

4.1.4 Static Structural Analysis

Static analysis involves calculating internal forces, moments, stresses, and displacements due to static loads. It assumes equilibrium conditions without considering mass or damping.

4.2 Dynamic Excitation

4.2.1 Definition

Dynamic excitation refers to forces or motions that vary with time and involve inertia and damping effects. These excitations may be periodic, transient, or random.

4.2.2 Characteristics

- **Time-varying**: The magnitude, direction, or location of the load changes with time.
- **Inertial effects present**: Since the structure doesn't have time to adjust gradually, inertia plays a crucial role.
- **Complex structural response**: The response may include resonance, amplification, and damping.
- **Time-domain and frequency-domain analysis** required.

4.2.3 Examples

- Earthquakes
- Vibrations due to machinery
- Impact loads (vehicle collision)
- Blast and shock waves
- Moving loads (trains, vehicles)

4.2.4 Equation of Motion

The behavior of structures under dynamic excitation is governed by the equation of motion:

$$M\ddot{u}(t) + C\dot{u}(t) + Ku(t) = F(t)$$

Where:

- M = Mass matrix
- C = Damping matrix
- K = Stiffness matrix
- $u(t)$ = Displacement vector
- $F(t)$ = Time-dependent force vector

This differential equation accounts for mass (inertial effects), damping, and stiffness of the system.

4.3 Key Differences Between Static and Dynamic Forces

Aspect	Static Forces	Dynamic Excitation
Time dependency	Constant or slow-changing	Rapidly time-varying
Inertial effects	Negligible	Significant
Analysis type	Static analysis	Dynamic/time-history/response spectrum analysis
Mathematical complexity	Simple algebraic equations	Differential equations
Examples	Dead loads, live loads	Earthquake loads, wind gusts
Response	Steady-state, predictable	Transient, possibly resonant

---

4.4 Structural Response Under Static vs. Dynamic Loading

4.4.1 Deformation Patterns

- **Static Loading**: Deformation is proportional to applied load. Typically involves bending or axial deformation depending on load type.
- **Dynamic Loading**: Deformation is influenced by time-varying forces, may involve oscillations or vibrations, and may be amplified due to resonance.

4.4.2 Stress Distribution

- **Static Loading**: Stress distribution remains constant unless the loading configuration changes.
- **Dynamic Loading**: Stress varies with time; dynamic amplification can cause stress spikes even under low loads.

4.5 Dynamic Amplification Factor (DAF)

Dynamic effects can be understood through the **Dynamic Amplification Factor (DAF)** which is defined as:

$$\text{DAF} = \frac{\text{Maximum dynamic displacement}}{\text{Static displacement}}$$

- DAF > 1 indicates that the structure experiences amplified motion under dynamic excitation.
- Resonance occurs when the frequency of excitation matches the natural frequency of the structure, leading to very high DAF.

4.6 Damping and Energy Dissipation

In dynamic systems, **damping** plays a key role in reducing vibrations and energy dissipation:

- **Types of damping**:
  - Viscous damping
  - Coulomb (frictional) damping
  - Structural or material damping
- In static systems, damping is not considered because the system reaches equilibrium without oscillation.

4.7 Earthquake as a Dynamic Excitation

Earthquakes represent the most critical type of dynamic loading in civil structures:

- **Ground acceleration** acts as a base excitation.
- **Response spectrum** analysis and **time-history analysis** are required.
- Structures designed only for static loads may collapse under dynamic earthquake excitation due to underestimated inertia forces and neglect of resonant behavior.

4.8 Need for Dynamic Analysis in Earthquake Engineering

Static analysis may be insufficient when dealing with:

- High-frequency ground motions
- Structures with low natural frequencies
- Irregular or asymmetric geometry
- Tall or slender structures with high flexibility

Hence, **dynamic analysis** becomes essential for safe earthquake-resistant design.

4.9 Conclusion of Concepts (Not a Summary)

The distinction between static and dynamic forces is foundational in earthquake engineering. While static analysis is simpler and used for regular load cases, dynamic excitation demands a more advanced and realistic treatment of structural behavior under time-dependent and inertia-sensitive loads, especially in seismic zones.

4.10 Practical Implications in Structural Design

Understanding the nature of static and dynamic loads directly impacts how structures are analyzed, designed, and constructed.

4.10.1 Design Codes and Load Combinations

Design codes such as **IS 1893 (Part 1): 2016**, **ASCE 7**, or **Eurocode 8** emphasize the importance of accounting for dynamic loads in seismic design. Key points:

- Load combinations include **dead load + live load + seismic load (dynamic)**.
- A **Response Reduction Factor (R)** is used to consider energy dissipation due to inelastic behavior.
- Structures are not designed for the full dynamic force but rather a reduced design base shear accounting for ductility and overstrength.

4.10.2 Importance of Natural Frequency and Mode Shapes

Every structure has one or more **natural frequencies**. If the frequency of ground shaking matches a structure's natural frequency, **resonance** occurs, leading to large amplitude oscillations.

- Mode shapes are used in **modal analysis** to understand how different parts of a structure vibrate.
- Tall buildings may have **higher modes** contributing significantly to response.

4.11 Computational Approaches in Dynamic Analysis

Dynamic analysis methods are necessary to capture the full effects of time-varying excitations such as earthquakes.

4.11.1 Time History Analysis

- Uses real or simulated ground motion records.

- Structure's response is computed at discrete time steps.
- Captures nonlinear behavior, damping, and acceleration effects.

4.11.2 Response Spectrum Analysis

- Based on maximum response (displacement, velocity, or acceleration) of a Single Degree of Freedom (SDOF) system.
- More practical for design purposes.
- Involves combining modal responses using methods like **SRSS (Square Root of Sum of Squares)** or **CQC (Complete Quadratic Combination)**.

4.11.3 Simplified Static Equivalent Method

- Used when full dynamic analysis is impractical.
- A **base shear** is calculated and distributed vertically along the building height.
- Assumes linear-elastic behavior and idealized mode shapes.

4.12 Case Studies: Lessons from Real Earthquakes

Understanding past earthquake performance helps validate the theoretical concepts of static vs. dynamic behavior.

4.12.1 Bhuj Earthquake (2001, India)

- Many reinforced concrete (RC) buildings collapsed.
- Structures were designed for static loads but failed due to **lack of ductile detailing** and **ignoring dynamic effects**.

4.12.2 Kobe Earthquake (1995, Japan)

- Bridges and high-rise buildings showed strong dynamic response.
- Importance of **base isolation** and **energy dissipation devices** recognized and implemented post-event.

4.12.3 Nepal Earthquake (2015)

- Masonry structures suffered severe damage.
- Flexible structures performed better due to their **ability to absorb dynamic energy** without brittle failure.

4.13 Techniques for Dynamic Load Mitigation

Several engineering strategies have been developed to **reduce the effects of dynamic excitations**:

4.13.1 Base Isolation

- Introduces flexible bearings at the foundation to decouple the superstructure from ground motion.
- Converts dynamic excitation into a **lower frequency**, away from the structure's natural frequency.

4.13.2 Tuned Mass Dampers (TMD)

- Secondary mass system installed in high-rise buildings.
- Reduces resonant response by shifting frequency or dissipating energy.

4.13.3 Energy Dissipation Devices

- Devices like **viscous dampers**, **yielding metallic dampers**, and **friction dampers** convert kinetic energy into heat, reducing seismic response.

4.14 Importance in Academic and Professional Practice

Understanding the difference between static and dynamic forces is essential for:

- **Students**: Builds a foundation for advanced earthquake analysis and design.
- **Structural Engineers**: Informs safe and cost-effective design, especially in seismic zones.
- **Code Developers**: Helps set realistic standards that ensure safety without overdesign.
